Plaintiff CopyTele, Inc. ("CopyTele") and Defendants AU Optronics Corporation ("AUO") and AU Optronics Corporation America ("AUO America") hereby stipulate to dismiss the Complaint as filed by CopyTele against Defendant AUO America in the above-captioned action. The action will continue against AUO. It is further stipulated that this dismissal is without prejudice and is contingent upon the following stipulations:

- 1. AUO America stipulates that, to the extent that it has documents, witnesses, and information in its custody and control that are related to the claims for relief asserted in the Complaint against AUO, AUO America agrees, subject to all objections and privileges otherwise available under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Federal Law, to provide CopyTele with such documents, witnesses, and information in response to discovery requests, including deposition notices, propounded to AUO by CopyTele to the same extent AUO America would be required to do so if it were a party to this action, and AUO's counsel agrees to accept service of such requests on AUO America's behalf;
- 2. AUO America agrees that, in the event CopyTele learns of facts that CopyTele, in its sole discretion, believes requires the addition or joining of AUO America as a defendant in the above-captioned action, it shall promptly seek to do so upon learning such facts, AUO America shall not oppose any such addition or joining of AUO America as a defendant on any grounds related to the instant stipulation and AUO America agrees to be bound by all prior orders, and further agrees that the statute of limitations as to all claims against AUO America shall be tolled as of the filing of the Complaint;
- 3. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P 41(a), CopyTele, AUO, and AUO America agree to dismiss all claims against AUO America in the above-captioned action, without prejudice, each of the parties to bear its own costs, expenses and fees.
- 4. AUO waives service of summons pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4, and shall have 90 days from the date of the filing of the Complaint to file its response to the Complaint. AUO agrees and stipulates that its waiver of service

## Case3:13-cv-00380-EMC Document35 Filed03/13/13 Page3 of 4 will operate as if AUO had been served by the clerk of the court in Taiwan in 1 2 accordance with Article 123 of the R.O.C. Code of Civil Procedure. 3 Dated: March 6, 2013 Respectfully Submitted: 4 LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 5 6 /s/ Eric B. Fastiff Eric B. Fastiff 7 Eric B. Fastiff (State Bar No. 182260) 8 (efastiff@lchb.com) David T. Rudolph (State Bar No. 233457) 9 (drudolph@lchb.com) 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor 10 San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Phone: 415.956.1000 11 Fax: 415.956.1008 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff CopyTele, Inc. 13 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Dated: March 6, 2013 14 /s/ Matthew Rawlinson 15 Matthew Rawlinson 16 Lawrence J. Gotts (lawrence.gotts@lw.com) 17 555 Eleventh Street, NW **Suite 1000** 18 Washington, D.C. 20004-1304 Phone: 202.637.2384 19 Matthew Rawlinson 20 (matt.rawlinson@lw.com) 140 Scott Drive 21 Menlo Park, CA 94025 Phone: 650.463.3076 22 Attorneys for Defendants AU Optronics Corporation and 23 AU Optronics Corporation America 24 25 //

26

27

28

//

//

## 

1	ATTESTATION
2	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that
3	concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatory.
4	DATED: March 6, 2013 /s/Matthew Rawlinson
5	Matthew Rawlinson
6	[PROPOSED] ORDER
7	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation above, IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	DATED: 3/13/13
9	Senior District Indge Maxine M. Chesney
10	IT IS SO ORDERED CODIFIED
11	
12	Judge Edward M. Chen
13	
14	PRINDISTRICT OF CENT
15	DISTRICT
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	